## VGP351 - Week 5

$\downarrow$ Agenda:

- Quiz \#2
- Bounding volumes
- Axis-aligned bounding boxes
- Oriented bounding boxes
- Bounding spheres
- BV hierarchies
- Portal culling


## Bounding Volumes

〉 From Wikipedia:
"...a bounding volume for a set of objects is a closed volume that completely contains the union of the objects in the set."
$\downarrow$ Why is this useful?

## Bounding Volumes

¢ From Wikipedia:
"...a bounding volume for a set of objects is a closed volume that completely contains the union of the objects in the set."
$\Rightarrow$ Why is this useful?

- Can represent complex geometry that would be expensive to test with an approximation that is much cheaper to test
- Visibility, collision detection, etc.


## Desirable BV Characteristics

$\Rightarrow$ Inexpensive intersection test

- BVs are used instead of source geometry to speed up trivial rejection (or trivial acceptance) tests
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## Desirable BV Characteristics

s Inexpensive intersection test

- BVs are used instead of source geometry to speed up trivial rejection (or trivial acceptance) tests
> Tight fitting to source geometry
- If the BV is a poor fit, tests between BVs may result in false positives or false negatives
¢ Inexpensive to compute
- If the BV is too expensive to compute, the expense of creating it may cancel the speed-up that it provides


## Desirable BV Characteristics

© Easy to transform

- If the object moves, its BV needs to move. If moving the BV is too expensive, it may cancel out the speedup.


## Desirable BV Characteristics

© Easy to transform

- If the object moves, its BV needs to move. If moving the BV is too expensive, it may cancel out the speedup.
$\Rightarrow$ Inexpensive to store
- If the BV requires too much space to store or too much time to access, it can negatively impact performance.


## Axis-Aligned Bounding Box

$\Rightarrow$ AABB is probably the most common bounding volume

- Just an n-dimensional box with sides parallel to the principle axes that encloses all the points


## Axis-Aligned Bounding Box



## Axis-Aligned Bounding Box

b Three common representations

- Easy to translate between them
- Which is used depends on the source data and the usage of the BV


## Axis-Aligned Bounding Box

class aabb_min_max \{
// Points such that for every point $P$ in the // object:
// (min.x <= P.x <= max.x)
// \&\& (min. $\mathrm{y}<=\mathrm{P} \cdot \mathrm{y}<=\max \cdot \mathrm{y}$ )
// \&\& (min.z <= P.z <= max.z)
point min;
point max;
\};
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## Axis-Aligned Bounding Box

class aabb_min_diameter \{
// Points such that for every point $P$ in the
// object:
// (min.x <= P.x)
// \&\& (min.y <= P.y)
// \&\& (min. $\mathrm{z}<=\mathrm{P} . \mathrm{z}$ )
point min;
// Dimensions of the box in each direction point diameter;
\};
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## Axis-Aligned Bounding Box

class aabb_center_radius \{
// Center of the bounding box point center;
// Radius of the box in each direction point radius;
\};
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## AABB Creation

¢ Trivial $\mathrm{O}(n)$ problem:

- Scan all points tracking minimum and maximum value in each dimension


## AABB Update

b Translation is trivial

- Rotation is problematic
¢ Three common techniques:
- Recalcuation
- AABB of an AABB
- Hill climbing


## AABB Update

》 Recalculation:

- Transform source data, calculate new AABB
¢ Advantages / disadvantages?


## AABB Update

》 Recalculation:

- Transform source data, calculate new AABB

Advantages / disadvantages?

- Creates a tight-fitting AABB
- O(n) per transformation is probably much too slow
- Can speed up by using only points on the convex hull


## AABB Update

b Hill climbing:

- Track the extreme points of the object
- To update, check neighboring points for new extrema

Advantages / disadvantages?

## AABB Update

¢ Hill climbing:

- Track the extreme points of the object
- To update, check neighboring points for new extrema

Advantages / disadvantages?

- Creates a tight-fitting AABB
- Average case performance is good
- Requires precalculation of convex hull
- Requires data structure to store connectivity amoung points on hull


## AABB Update

b AABB of AABB:

- Calculate AABB of base orientation of object
- Apply transformations to object and AABB
- Calculate AABB of transformed AABB

Advantages / disadvantages?

## AABB Update

b $A A B B$ of $A A B B$ :

- Calculate AABB of base orientation of object
- Apply transformations to object and AABB
- Calculate AABB of transformed AABB

Advantages / disadvantages?

- Creates a loose-fitting AABB
- Very fast!
b This is probably the most commonly used technique


## Oriented Bounding Boxes

b Arbitrarily oriented box that encloses the object

- Can lead to much tighter bounding volume
$\Rightarrow$ How would you represent an OBB?


## Oriented Bounding Boxes

class obb_base_vectors \{
// Base point of box point base;
// X, Y, and Z axes point axis[3];
\};
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## Oriented Bounding Boxes

```
class obb_basis_radius {
    // Radius in each direction
    point radius;
    // Transformation to the OBB's coordinate
    // system
    matrix basis;
};
```


## OBB Creation

> One common method:

- Calculate 3D convex hull
- One of the OBB faces must be coplanar with a face of the convex hull
- For each face of the 3D convex hull:
- Project points onto its plane
- Calculate 2D convex hull
- Use "rotating calipers" to find minimal bounding rectangle - This defines one face of the OBB
- Calculate distance of farthest point from the convex hull face
- Use the OBB with the smallest resulting volume


## OBB Creation

## © References:

- http://cbloomrants.blogspot.com/2009/04/04-24-09-convex-hulls-and-obb.html


## OBB Update

## \$ Trivial!

- Apply transformation to the OBB's basis matrix


## Bounding Spheres

$\downarrow$ Sphere surrounding the object

- Ideally it's the minimal sphere
- Representation is trivial
- Update is trivial


## Bounding Sphere Creation

b Generating a good sphere is a non-trivial exercise

- Brute-force is $\mathrm{O}\left(n^{5}\right)$
- Statistical methods can produce a good approximation in $\mathrm{O}(n)$
- A recursive method can produce minimum sphere in $\mathrm{O}(n)$, but a robust implementation is complex.
- An iterative approach can get within 5\% of minimum in $\mathrm{O}(n)$, but has a higher constant factor.


## Bounding Sphere Creation

$\Rightarrow$ Generating a good sphere is a non-trivial exercise

- Brute-force is O( $n^{5}$ )
- Statistical methods can produce a good approximation in $\mathrm{O}(n)$
- A recursive method can produce minimum sphere in $\mathrm{O}(n)$, but a robust implementation is complex.
- An iterative approach can get within $5 \%$ of minimum in $\mathrm{O}(n)$, but has a higher constant factor.

We won't talk about these methods today

## Bounding Sphere Creation

b Brute-force:

- A plane is defined by 3 non-colinear points
- A sphere is defined by 3 points on a plane and one point not on the plane
- i.e., a tetrahedron
- Consider the sphere defined by all combinations of 4 non-coplanar points, keep the smallest that contains all the points.


## Bounding Sphere Creation

> Ritter's algorithm:

- Given an initial guess that is too small, can find bounding sphere within $10 \%$ of minimum
- Easy to understand and easy to implement
- I did a version in 68000 assembly language many years ago


## Bounding Sphere Creation

```
void bounding_sphere(Sphere &sphere, vector *p, unsigned num)
{
    float r_squared = sphere.radius * sphere.radius;
    for (unsigned i = 0; i < num; i++) {
        const vector d = p[i] - sphere.center;
        const float dist_squared = d.dot3(d);
        if (dist_squared > r_squared) {
            const float dist = sqrt(dist_squared);
            const float r = (sphere.radius + dist) / 2.0f;
            const float k = (r - sphere.radius) / dist;
            sphere.radius = r;
            sphere.center += d * k;
            r_squared = r * r;
        }
    }
}
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```


## Bounding Sphere Creation

$\downarrow$ What's the big assumption?

## Bounding Sphere Creation

\& What's the big assumption?

- That we have a good way to come up with an initial sphere
- The initial sphere must be a little bit too small
- The better the initial sphere, the better the final sphere


## Bounding Sphere Creation

§ What's the big assumption?

- That we have a good way to come up with an initial sphere
- The initial sphere must be a little bit too small
- The better the initial sphere, the better the final sphere
> Apply the algorithm iteratively
- Generate a sphere from an AABB
- Apply Ritter's algorithm
- Shrink the output sphere
- Apply again adding the points in random order


## AABB / Frustum Intersection

》 Test each corner of the box. If all corners are outside the frustum, then box is outside.

A

## AABB / Frustum Intersection

b) Fest each corner of the box. If all corners are outside the frustum, then box is outside- Wrong!!!
$\Rightarrow$ If all corners are on positive side of any one plane, then the box is outside.

## AABB / Frustum Intersection

$\Rightarrow$ Can we do better than testing all 8 corners?

## AABB / Frustum Intersection

$\Rightarrow$ Can we do better than testing all 8 corners?

- Pick the "most positive" point and "most negative" point relative to each plane
- Call these the $p$-vertex and the $n$-vertex
- Test just those points
- If both are on the same side of the plane, then all of the points must be on that same side


## AABB / Frustum Intersection

¢ Finding p-vertex and n-vertex:

- Look at the signs of the components of the plane's normal
- The signs determine which corner the normal points towards
- Example: If the normal signs are $\{+,+,-\}$, then the $p$-vertex is \{ box.radius.x, box.radius.y, -box.radius.z \}
- The n-vertex is always the opposite corner


## AABB / Frustum Intersection

```
int frustum_aabb(Plane *planes, Aabb &aabb)
{
        bool intersect = false;
        for (unsigned i = 0; i < 6; i++) {
            vector vn =
                get_negative_far_point(planes[i], aabb);
            if (vn.dot3(planes[i].n) + planes[i].d > 0)
                return OUTSIDE;
    vector vp =
        get_positive_far_point(planes[i], aabb);
    if (vp.dot3(planes[i].n) + planes[i].d > 0)
        intersect = true;
}
return (intersect) ? INTERSECTING : INSIDE;
}
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## AABB / Frustum Intersection

> References:

- http://www.ce.chalmers.se/~uffe/vfc_bbox.pdf
- http://www.ce.chalmers.se/~uffe/vfc.pdf


## OBB / Frustum Intersection

> Same!

- Transform the frustum to the coordinate space of the OBB
- This effectively transforms the OBB to an AABB


## BV Hierarchies

b Bounding volume containing bounding volumes containing bounding volumes, etc.

- Arrange the BVs in a tree-like structure
- Sibling BVs may occupy overlapping space


## BV Hierarchies

¢ Parent-child property:

- Each parent BV contains its child BVs
- Not required, but makes somethings easier
- Parent BV need only contain objects in child BVs
- Top level circle (right) contains all boxes but not all sub-circles.



## Desirable BVH Characteristics

$\downarrow$ Nodes within a subtree should be "near" each other

- Farther down the tree, the nodes should be closer
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## Desirable BVH Characteristics

> Nodes within a subtree should be "near" each other

- Farther down the tree, the nodes should be closer
© Each node should be tight-fitting
- Just like non-hierarchical bounding volumes
$\Rightarrow$ Nodes near the root are more important than nodes near the leaves
- Trivial reject (or trivial accept) as many objects as possible as with as little work as possible


## Desirable BVH Characteristics

$\downarrow$ Minimal overlap of sibling nodes

- Overlap can force traversal of multiple subtrees


## Desirable BVH Characteristics

> Minimal overlap of sibling nodes

- Overlap can force traversal of multiple subtrees
$>$ Hierarchy should be balance w.r.t. node structure and content
- Balanced structure just like regular search trees
- Balanced content (i.e., number of objects in nodes) allows earlier trivial rejection


## Desirable BVH Characteristics

> Minimal overlap of sibling nodes

- Overlap can force traversal of multiple subtrees
$\Rightarrow$ Hierarchy should be balance w.r.t. node structure and content
- Balanced structure just like regular search trees
- Balanced content (i.e., number of objects in nodes) allows earlier trivial rejection
$\Rightarrow$ Worst-case performance should not be much worse than average-case performance
- Avoid stuttering framerates


## Desirable BVH Characteristics

b Generate without human intervention

- Automatically generate without artist or programmer guiding the process


## Desirable BVH Characteristics

b Generate without human intervention

- Automatically generate without artist or programmer guiding the process
\$ Memory overhead should be low
- Just like non-hierarchical bounding volumes


## BVH Creation

b Three common strategies:

- Insertion
- Top-down
- Bottom-up


## BVH Creation - Top-Down

¢ Start with single BV and recursively subdivide

- Easy to implement
- Doesn't result in optimal BVH


## BVH Creation - Top-Down

```
BVHNode *build_BVH(Entity *e, int num_e)
{
    BoundingVolume *bv = new BoundingVolume(e, num_e);
    BVHNode *node = new BVHNode(bv);
    if (num_entity < threshold) {
        node->is_leaf = true;
    } else {
        int first_half_count = divide_entities(e, num_e);
        node->child[0] = build_BVH(& e[0],
        first_half_count);
    node->child[1] = build_BVH(& e[first_half_count],
        num_e - first_half_count);
    }
    return node;
}
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## BVH Creation - Top-Down

s The key element is divide_entities

- As coded, assumes each entity is in exactly one set
- Not the only strategy
¢ How do we decide where to divide the set?


## BVH Creation - Top-Down

$\downarrow$ Median-cut is a common strategy

- Select an axis
- Longest axis of the BV being partitioned is a common choice
- Project all entities onto this axis
- Sort projected entities by position
- First half goes in the first node, second half goes in the second node


## BVH Creation - Top-Down

$\Rightarrow$ Median-cut is easy to implement, but it poorly partitions some sets:


## BVH Creation - Top-Down

$\Rightarrow$ Median-cut is easy to implement, but it poorly partitions some sets:

Too much empty space

Too much overlap

## BVH Creation - Top-Down

> Other heuristics:

- Minimize sum of volumes
- Minimize largest volume
- Minimize overlap volume
- Maximize child node separation
$\Rightarrow$ No single heuristic is perfect
- Implement a primary heuristic and adjust choice if secondary heuristic scores very poorly
- Repeat for all heuristics or until a heuristic passes without adjustment


## BVH Creation - Top-Down

b Infinite number of possible partition axes

- Similar to the problem of selecting the basis of OBB
¢ Common choices:
- Aligned axes of BV
- Axes of parent BV
- Axis through most distant points
- Axis of greatest variance


## BVH Creation - Top-Down

$\Rightarrow$ Once an axis is selected, a split-point must also be selected

- Median of projected object centroids
- Mean of projected object centroids
- Median of projected BV extents
- Pick best of $n$ evenly spaced points along axis


## BVH Creation - Bottom-Up

$\Rightarrow$ Repeatedly merge individual BVs:

- Create a BV for each object
- Store in an "active" BV list
- Select 2 or more BVs to merge
- Remove old BVs from active list
- Add new, merged BV to active list
- Lather, rinse, repeat until only one BV remains
¢ Tradeoffs:
- Often much, much slower
- More complex implement

Usually results in much better hierarchies
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## BVH Creation - Bottom-Up

¢ The key element is the algorithm for node selection

## BVH Creation - Bottom-Up

\& The key element is the algorithm for node selection
$\downarrow$ Obvious, brute-force approach: search active list for pair of nodes that form least-volume BV

- $\mathrm{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$ for the search which is repeated $(n-1)$ times... $\mathrm{O}\left(n^{3}\right)$ for the lose. :(


## BVH Creation - Bottom-Up

\& The key element is the algorithm for node selection
$\downarrow$ Obvious, brute-force approach: search active list for pair of nodes that form least-volume BV -

- $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ for the search which is repeated $(n-1)$ times... $\mathrm{O}\left(n^{3}\right)$ for the lose. :(

Other heuristics can also be used

## BVH Creation - Bottom-Up

¢ Use the brute-force method as basis for an improved method:

- For each node, determine the best node for it to pair with
- Store both nodes with heuristic score in a priority queue
- Loop, removing the head from the queue:
- Validate stored size
- May have changed if either node was already removed
- If size is still smallest, calculate pairing for new node and add to queue
- Otherwise, re-insert the original node in the queue


## BVH Creation - Insertion

¢ Find location to insert node with least cost

- Heuristic is usually along the lines of volume added to BV and all parent BVs
- Large objects will be added near the root, small objects will be added near the leaves
- Far away (isolated) objects will be added near the root


## BVH Creation - Insertion

$\downarrow$ Common insertion strategies:

- Depth first:
- At each step, pick the child with the least cost.
- Recur on its children
- Search cost is $\mathrm{O}(\ln n)$ with $n$ searches $\rightarrow \mathrm{O}(n \ln n)$
- Guided breadth first:
- Keep track of cost at each visited depth, recur on branch with current best cost
- Worst-case search cost is $\mathrm{O}(n) \rightarrow \mathrm{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$
- Average case is still $\mathrm{O}(n \ln n)$
- Results in much better tree


Uses global information instead of just local information
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## Next week...

## > Texture mapping, part 1
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